

SELECT NAESB OS PARKING LOT LIST ISSUES (REDIRECTS AND RESALES) - WORKING DOCUMENT					
Parking Lot List Item	NAESB Parking Lot Issue	NAESB Parking Lot Issue Discussion	Latest NAESB Actions	NAESB Motions	Customer Discussion 01.09.13
Line 17, Last Update 10.24.12	Should a definition be created for conditional reservation deadline or Conditionality for non-firm ptp, secondary network, and redirects on a non-firm basis. If yes, then does conditionality of the non-firms based on duration need to be specified.	Conditionality does not apply to non-firm. OATT 13.2 defines Conditionality for Firm Point to Point Transmission Service. OATT 14.2 is silent on conditional reservation deadline. Pg 51 Section 13.2 of the OATT describes the conditional reservation deadline for Firm Point to Point Transmission Service as: "requests for service may preempt competing reservations up to the following conditional reservation deadlines: one day before the commencement of daily service, one week before the commencement of weekly service, and one month before the commencement of monthly service." Section 14.2 silent on conditional service deadline. 14.2 Pg 63 Does specify response time for ROFR of Non-firm Transmission Service as "(a) immediately for hourly Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service after notification by the Transmission Provider; and, (b) within 24 hours (or earlier if necessary to comply with the scheduling deadlines provided in section 14.6) for Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service other than hourly transactions after notification by the Transmission Provider."	Do capacity, volume (MWH), revenue, etc. factor into the decision to initiate competition? 10/24/12 Will deal with this issue when the subcommittee takes up non-firm.		
Item Line 23, Last Update 10.25.12	The challenger shall not be permitted to redirect or resell the confirmed capacity while the preemption process is still going on.		10/25/12 resolved per motion 33	Motion 33: Preemption and competition will not incorporate the concept of grant before match for the challenger.	
Item Line 25, Last Update 10.25.12	The defender shall not redirect or resell to retain their capacity after being identified as subject to preemption.		10/25/12 resolved per motion 34 and motion 14	Motion 14: Resale reservations shall not be considered as eligible defenders to meet the requirements for granting a challenging request. The capacity resold shall be considered as still held by the original reservation for service from the Transmission Provider and subject to preemption and competition under the terms of the original reservation. (order 890-A par. 819) Motion 34: Redirect Request of the defenders should be processed as regular WEQ Business Practice Standards process and will not be prevented from submitting a redirect. WEQ Business Practice Standards should therefore be silent about limiting the redirects.	
NAESB OS Parking Lot List Item Line 44, Last Update 07.10.12	Requirement 14) 2/22/12 Consider delinking redirects from resales for preemption and competition. i.e. Linking the redirect away from the resales and linking it back to the original parent reservation.			Motion 11: Redirect on a Firm basis shall be treated as any other ORIGINAL reservation and subject to preemption and competition on its own merit and afforded ROFR based on the nature of the challenging request. Conditionality of the Redirect on a Firm basis reservation shall be based on the service and term of the Redirect on a Firm basis reservation. Motion 12: Redirect on a Non-Firm basis shall be subject to preemption without ROFR as required to meet the needs of any challenging request. Preemption of Redirect on a Non-Firm basis shall be treated in the same manner as a customer initiated RELINQUISH and will restore scheduling rights and capacity available to redirect on the parent Firm reservation.	
Item Line 45, Last Update 07.10.12	Requirement 15) 2/22/12 Consider disallowing redirects of resales. This not viewed favorably by BPA market participants.				
Line 49, Last Update 07.10.12	Requirement 19) 2/22/12 Consider redirects of an aggregated reservation what to do when more than one of the aggregation is subject to preemption and competition.			7/10/12 Motions 11 and 12 address treatment of redirects. Firm redirects are adequately addressed. NF redirects from aggregation -- what capacity is restored to each parent if only partial capacity is needed from the NF redirect.	
Line 50, Last Update 11.29.12	Requirement 20) 2/22/12 Who is a valid defender	20.a. Identical por\pod 20.b. Identical source\sink 20.c. Must mitigate atc/afc deficit 20.d. Comparable treatment regardless of atc methodology 20.e. Defender must improve the offer of the challenger	Still open as of 11/29/12		
Line 64, No Action	Requirement 36) 4/5/12 look at redirect and resales on an hourly basis, should there be preemption and competition?				
Item Line 68, No Action	Requirement 37) 4/10/12 Limiting competition to new(original not redirect) requests only (TEA)				

Parking Lost List Item	NAESB Parking Lot Issue	NAESB Parking Lot Issue Discussion	Latest NAESB Actions	NAESB Motions	Customer Discussion 01.09.13
Line 72, No Action	Requirement 48) 4/10/12 Look at the standards of redirect on a firm basis does this impact the competition and does it need to be looked at and redefined.				
Line 73, No Action	Requirement 49) 4/10/12 Look at the possibility of continuing the parents reservation (conditionality) attributes to the redirected child and maybe the possibility the attributes of the resales.				
Line 74, No Action	Requirement 49.a. Should consider whether or not the redirect can or cannot be a challenger with this attribute				
Line 152, No Action	Advanced Topics: Questions to be Discussed: § Treatment of RESALES				
Line 153, No Action	Advanced Topics: Questions to be Discussed: § Treatment of REDIRECTS				