

Use of Tokens Discussion

ACS Forum
January 22, 2013



Overview

- Introduction
- Draft NWPP Interchange Transaction Reliability Identification Policy - MISC Field Token
- VERBS Level of Service Tokens
- Additional Feedback

Introduction

- Panel Members
- Today's discussion is to gather customer feedback to assist BPA in making internal and external decisions about the use of tokens.
- Provide a framework for using a token at the February 5 ACS Forum

NWPP MISC Field Token

- NWPP Firm for the Scheduling Period task force will be proposing to the NWPP Operating Committee a policy that includes:
 - “The appendix will define any MISC Token that will be used on the Interchange Transaction(s) to identify any additional Operating Reserves that may be required.”
 - “The following MISC Token name and value have been proposed:
 - NWPP Reserve Identifier = Source BA may interrupt”

NWPP MISC Field Token

- The proposed NWPP Policy says...
 - “Source BA’s will make reasonable efforts to ensure that e-tag authors specify if the Interchange Transaction is subject to interruption for a variety of reasons which may practices within the Source BA.”
 - “Operating Committee members are responsible for providing information of the requirements of this Interchange Transaction Reliability Identification Policy to all parties within their footprint.”

NWPP MISC Field Token

- What do customers think of the proposed NWPP Policy?
- Do customers think BPA has a role in verifying the use of the token?

VERBS Level of Service Tokens

- Are customers interested in BPA's use of additional tokens to distinguish the VERBS levels of service?
- If so, how should tokens be used?
 - VERBS Base only?
 - Full Service only?
 - What about ESS, CSGI, or Self Supply?
 - One for each service listed above?
- If not, should BPA assist those that do want additional tokens in standardizing them?

Additional Feedback

Please send additional comments to

techforum@bpa.gov