



Transmission Services

Scheduling, Version 16

Response to Customer Comments

Posted:

This document contains the Transmission Customer comments and Transmission Services' response to those comments for the Scheduling, Version 16 Business Practice posted for review from August 8th, 2014 through September 15th, 2014

Thank you for your comments.

Table of Contents

Power Services.....	2
---------------------	---

Power Services

Page 2: 1. Submitting Transmission Schedules m. Transactions on the DC Intertie - propose changing “(15-minute scheduling...” to “(interval, e.g., 15-minute schedule, is not allowed on the DC Intertie).”

Transmission Service’s Response

Transmission Services will retain the original language in the draft Business Practice and add “Transactions on the PDCI must be in whole hour increments.”

Page 4: D. E-tag and CDE Submittal Windows d. CDE Data Submission - suggest changing “15-minute increments” to “intervals, e.g., 15-minutes” to be consistent with what Transmission Services shared at the Customer conference call.

Transmission Service’s Response

Transmission Services will remove “not 15-minute increments” from the first sentence. It now reads, “Data submitted into CDE must be in hourly increments.”

Page 7: I. Reliability Limits and Outages Information Reservation Reliability Limits 6. A. - potential rewording “Transmission schedules in excess of the Reliability Limit are subject to curtailments on a pro-rata basis, sequentially through the NERC Curtailment priority (i.e., 1-NS, 2-NH, 3-ND, 4-NW, 5-NM, 6-NN (including 6-CF), and 7-F (including 7-FN)).” [Note: Whatever wording change in this business practice should also be included in the Redispatch and Curtailment Procedures business practice.]

Transmission Service’s Response

BPA has revised the section to read “BPA Transmission Services will curtail schedules pro-rata according to NERC Curtailment priority.” The same revision has been made in the Redispatch and Curtailment Business Practice for consistency.

Page 8: Outage Information - back in February 2014, the 45-day outage document format changed. It no longer includes capacity limit estimates by path. We had created reports that used the old 45-day outage format, so now those do not work. Other BPAT reports include the estimated capacity reductions, but in a different format. Does BPAT believe that 45-day outage document will return to the previous format?

Transmission Service’s Response

A new outage plan format was implemented in May, 2014, and it was determined that estimates would no longer be provided in the outage plans. This process had been part of the NWPP process and pre-dated OASIS and these estimates were never in the commercial systems and part of ATC/AFC. BPAT has no plans at this time to return to the previous format.

BPA's outage process is described in the Outage Coordination Policy. The Outage Information section will be deleted from the Scheduling Business Practice since it is duplicative of material in the Outage Coordination policy.

Page 20: Appendix B. E-Tag Validation Rules - Blanket Capacity / Demand MW on page 12 change "to for" to "for" in the Description cell.

Transmission Service's Response

The suggested change has been made in the final document.